	New Capita	al Project Approva	al Request		
Unit:	Schools				
Project title:	Middle Street ICT Equipment				
Total Project Cost (All Years):	£0.031m				
Purpose, benefits and risks:					
each classroom and the purchase of		ads.			
Capital expenditure profile (£'000) Year	•	This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL
Borrowing		31	Hoxe Four		101/L
Total estimated costs and fees					
Financial implications:					
The repayment of the borrowing has borrowing allows the school to fully u		-	•	• • •	des using

N	ew Capital Project Appro	oval Request					
Jnit: City Inf	City Infrastructure - City Parks						
Project title: Stanme	Stanmer Park Restoration Project						
otal Project Cost (All Years): £0.376	m						
Purpose, benefits and risks:							
Purpose, benefits and risks: Funding from BHCC will be used together with significant funding from Heritage Lottery Fund to restore the main core of the Stanmer Estate parkland area. BHCC has been awarded Stage 1 funding working in partnership with South Downs National Park Authority and Plumpton College to fully develop the Stanmer masterplan. Benefits include: historic landscape restoration, walled garden will be developed into a learning and interpretation centre, infrastructure, parking and improved sustainable transport, work towards Stanmer being self-sufficient in terms of revenue funding, more visitors accessing the south downs, park facilities, supporting businesses and volunteering, arrest decline of the Estate and protect council asset for future. The funding package for stage 2 is included in a separate report to this committee for approval leading to approximately £3.8m HLF funds to carry out capital improvements and delivery of activity plan. <u>Risks</u> If this stage is not properly developed Stage 2 may be put at risk. This should be unlikely as an internal and external consultancy team is in place. The main project scope has been consulted on widely and is in the BHCC corporate plan as a priority action. Stanmer Park, the largest public park in Brighton and Hove at 485 hectares, is Grade II registered and classed 'At Risk' by Historic England. Designed in the 1720s as the setting for the manor house, church and estate village, today the park remains a rare survival of an 18th century estate landscape. Used by over 500,000 people annually, its historic importance is not well-known and in the last few decades there has been no site-wide investment or commitment to address its decay.							
Capital expenditure profile (£'000):							
/ear	This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL			
Grant – Heritage Lottery Fund	16	-		291			
Revenue Contributions	4			79			
External Contribution (inc S106)		3 3		6			
Total estimated costs and fees209167376							

## Financial implications:

The funding for the development phase of the Stanmer Park Restoration Project will be from the agreed Heritage Lottery Fund (£0.291m), revenue contributions from the Parks Projects budget (£0.079m) and contributions from the South Downs National Park (£0.006m). The Parks Project revenue budget has planned for the required contribution to this project, and this is therefore not expected to result in revenue pressures. The award of the Heritage Lottery Fund of up to £3.786m for the delivery phase of the project is dependent on the successful completion of the development phase.

New Capital Project Approval Request							
Unit:	-	City Infrastructure - City Parks					
Project title:	Hove Recreation	Ground Rugby Pit	ch Improvements				
Total Project Cost (All Years):	£0.179m						
Purpose, benefits and risks:							
Resurfacing and draining works to two rugby pitches at Hove Recreation Ground, which are currently considered unsafe for use. Improvements are in line with the Rugby Football Union's (RFU) Lead Up & Legacy programme of improving rugby facilities.							
Capital expenditure profile (£'000 Year	ı):	This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL		
Grant – RFU Lead Up & Legacy gra	ant	70	Next Tear	Teal Allei	70		
External Contribution (inc S106)		109			109		
Total estimated costs and fees							
Financial implications:							
The capital expenditure will be funct Section 106 funds (£0.050m), and associated to the RFU grant which that the expenditure will be incurred process.	contributions from have been reviewe	Hove Rugby Footb ed and approved b	all Club (£0.059m) y the council's Se	). There are grant ction 151 officer. It	conditions is anticipated		

	New Capita	al Project Approva	al Request			
Unit:	City Infrastructure - City Clean					
Project title:	Introduction of a	Chargeable Garder	n Waste Collection	Service		
Total Project Cost (All Years):	£0.200m					
Purpose, benefits and risks:						
A trial of a chargeable garden waste collection service was approved at Environment, Transport and Sustainability Committee in October 2015. The service will provide another way for residents to compost their garden waste, which is expected to increase overall recycling rates. The capital expenditure is required for vehicle and bin purchase to support the scheme. A financial business case has been developed to ensure that the charges to service users covers the cost of the scheme, including the capital borrowing costs.						
Capital expenditure profile (£'000)	:					
Year		This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL	
Borrowing		162	38		200	
Total estimated costs and fees16238200					200	
Financial implications:						
The capital expenditure for this projection income generated from the scheme. based on the best available data to expendent the best available data to expendent the best available data to be a scheme best available data to be scheme best available data to be sc	The financial bu	siness case for the	scheme has been	•		

	New Capita	l Project Approv	al Request		
Unit:	Public Protection				
Project title:	Clean Bus Transport Fund				
Total Project Cost (All Years):	£0.499m	£0.499m			
Purpose, benefits and risks:					
£0.499m in relation to further retrofite bus emissions. It is anticipated that the project will a	llow 35 buses to b	-		-	ide of nitrogen
Capital expenditure profile (£'000)	):		1		
Year		This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL
Grant - Department for Transport			499		499
Total estimated costs and fees			499		499
Financial implications:					
The grant funding is likely to be rece	ived in 2015/16, b	ut will not be spe	nt until 2016/17.		

New Capital Project Approval Request						
Unit:	Schools					
Project title:	Upgrade of Compute	ers - Longhill School				
Total Project Cost (All Years):	£0.038m					
Purpose, benefits and risks:						
Borrowing will be used to finance the school's annual years.	computer upgrade. S	chool computers are	replaced approxima	ately every five		
Capital expenditure profile (£'000):						
Year	This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL		
Unsupported Borrowing	38			38		
Total estimated costs and fees	38			38		
Financial implications:						
The total cost of the borrowing for this scheme is £0.0 borrowing to finance the update of its computer equip agreement in place until 2019/20. However, the costs this five year period.	ment. The school is e	xperiencing financia	I difficulties, and has	a licensed deficit		

	New Capital Project Approval Request
Unit:	City Regeneration
Project title:	Affordable Housing & Regeneration Delivery Options
Total Project Cost (All Years):	£0.051m
Purpose, benefits and risks:	
supply, in particular affordable hous Directors to explore and negotiate of identified needs. This included pote options, including General Fund pro- balance sheet' institutional and prive frameworks, subject to further repor £0.050m was provided to support pro- consultant was procured to review affordable housing. A further report Resources to update on the progree order to progress the delivery of the	

## Capital expenditure profile (£'000):

Year	This Year	Next Year	Year After	TOTAL
Grant (S51 Grant toward Housing Intervention Projects)	11			11
Capital Reserves - Strategic Investment Fund	40			40
Total estimated costs and fees	51			51
Einanaial implications:				

## The procurement of external legal advice is currently in progress, there will be further costs due in 2016/17 financial year for professional external advice relating to the alternative delivery options for the Affordable Housing and Regeneration Projects. This budget and funding will be further reviewed as part of the allocation of the of the council's 2016/17 Strategic Investment Fund which will be reported to a future Policy & Resources Committee.